



Freshman Physics Laboratory (PH003)

Corrections to Parameter Estimation Chapter of the Vademecum of Data Analysis Beginners

Academic Year 2008-2009

Copyright©Virgínio de Oliveira Sannibale, 2001

Chapter 6

Errata Correction Parameter Estimation

When a function depends on a set of parameters we are faced with the problem of estimating the values of those parameters. Starting from a finite set of measurements we can make a statistical determination of the parameters set.

In the following sections we will examine two standard methods, the maximum-likelihood and least-square methods, to estimate the parameters of a PDF and of a general function of one independent variable.

6.1 The Maximum Likelihood Principle (MLP)

Let x be a random variable and f its PDF, which depends on a set of unknown parameters $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n)$

$$f = f(x; \vec{\theta}).$$

Given N independent samples of x , $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, the quantity

$$L(\vec{x}; \vec{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i; \vec{\theta})$$

is called the *likelihood* of f . L is proportional to the probability to obtain the set of samples \vec{x} , assuming that the N samples are independent.

The *maximum likelihood principle* (MLP) states that **the best estimate of the parameters $\vec{\theta}$ is the set of values which maximizes $L(\vec{x}; \vec{\theta})$.**

Considering the monotonic property of the natural logarithm function, it is quite often convenient to use the equivalent expression of the likelihood function

$$L^*(\vec{x}; \vec{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log [f(x_i; \vec{\theta})]$$

The MLP reduces the problem of parameter estimation to that of maximizing the function L (or L^*). Because, in general, it is not possible to always find the parameters $\vec{\theta}$ that maximize L or L^* analytically, numerical methods implemented in computers are often used.

6.1.1 Example: σ and μ of a Normally Distributed Random Variable

Let's suppose we have N independent samples of a normally distributed random variable x , whose σ and μ are unknown. Experimentally, this case corresponds to measuring the same physical quantity x several times with the **same** instrument.

In this case, L^* is

$$\begin{aligned} L^*(\vec{x}, \vec{\theta}) &= \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right)^N \exp \left[- \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \right\}, \\ &= -N \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 + \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

and we have to maximize it. The following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[-N \log \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left[-N \log \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

are sufficient to determine the absolute minimum of L^* .

Solving the first equation respect to μ , we obtain the estimator $\hat{\mu}$ of μ

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i.$$

Solving the second equation respect to σ , we obtain the estimator $\hat{\sigma}$ of σ

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2.$$

The estimator of the variance is biased, i.e. the expectation value of the estimator is not the parameter itself; in fact, it can be demonstrated that

$$E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \sigma^2.$$

Because of this it is preferable to use the following unbiased estimator

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2. \quad \Rightarrow E[s^2] = \sigma^2.$$

What is the variance associated with the average?

To answer to this question, let's consider the average variable,

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i,$$

which must be a Gaussian random variable. Using the pseudo-linearity property, its variance can be computed directly, i.e.

$$V[\bar{x}] = \frac{1}{N} \sigma^2.$$