

LASER INTERFEROMETER GRAVITATIONAL WAVE OBSERVATORY
- LIGO -
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Document Type LIGO-T990081-02 - E Jan. 2003

**Time Domain Modal Model
in End-to-End simulation package**

Biplab Bhawal, Matt Evans,
Malik Rakhmanov and Hiro Yamamoto

Distribution of this draft:

xyz

This is an internal working note
of the LIGO Project..

California Institute of Technology
LIGO Project - MS 51-33
Pasadena CA 91125
Phone (626) 395-2129
Fax (626) 304-9834
E-mail: info@ligo.caltech.edu

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
LIGO Project - MS 20B-145
Cambridge, MA 01239
Phone (617) 253-4824
Fax (617) 253-7014
E-mail: info@ligo.mit.edu

WWW: <http://www.ligo.caltech.edu/>

1 ABSTRACT

In the End to End simulation program for LIGO uses the time domain modal model to simulate the spatial and time evolution of the field in cavities. This note summaries the formulas used in the e2e package. Also included are explicit calculations of fields in a simple cavity to understand how the fields with limited number of spatial modes behave, and to demonstrate model validation methods which are used to validate the e2e code.

2 KEYWORDS

time domain modal model e2e End-to-End simulation

3 TIME DOMAIN MODAL MODEL

3.1. General Formula

In the time domain modal model, a freely propagating field, $E(x,y,z,t)$, in a vacuum is expressed using a set of Hermite-Gaussian functions in the following way.

$$E(x, y, z, t) = \exp(i\omega t) \cdot E(x, y, z) \quad (1)$$

$$E(x, y, z) = \sum \bar{a}_{mn} \cdot Prop(z, \bar{z}) \cdot U_{mn}(x, y, z) \quad (2)$$

$$Prop(z, \bar{z}) = \exp[-ik \cdot (z - \bar{z})] \cdot \exp[i(m + n + 1)(\eta_{00}(z) - \eta_{00}(\bar{z}))] \quad (3)$$

$$U_{mn}(x, y, z) = u_m(x, z) \cdot u_n(y, z) \quad (4)$$

$$u_m(x, z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m m! w(z)}} \cdot H_m\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{w(z)}\right) \cdot \exp\left[-x^2\left(\frac{1}{w(z)^2} + \frac{ik}{2R(z)}\right)\right] \quad (5)$$

LIGO-DRAFT

calculated by multiplying the phase due to the normal wave oscillation, $k(z-\bar{z})$, and the guoy phase change, $(m+n+1)(\eta_{00}(z)-\eta_{00}(\bar{z}))$.

The simulation of e2e traces the change of coefficients a_{mn} . When a field moves, Eq. (3) is applied to update the coefficients and, when there is any interaction, the coefficient is updated using the matrix operation explained in the next subsection.

3.3. Operation

A operation on a field, like changing the propagation direction or dispalcing the waist position, can be represented by a mode decomposition matrix, $M_{mn,m'n'}^{Op}$, which converts one set of coeffieints a_{mn} to another.


$$\begin{aligned} Op[E(x, y, z)]_{z=\bar{z}} &= \sum_{mn} Op[a_{mn} \cdot Prop(z, \bar{z}) \cdot U_{mn}(x, y, z)]_{z=\bar{z}} \\ &= \sum_{mn} a'_{mn} \cdot U_{mn}(x, y, \bar{z}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{mn} &= \int dx dy U_{mn}^*(x, y, \bar{z}) \cdot Op[E(x, y, z)]_{z=\bar{z}} \\ &= \sum_{m'n'} a_{m'n'} \int dx dy U_{m'n'}^*(x, y, \bar{z}) \cdot Op[Prop(z, \bar{z}) \cdot U_{mn}(x, y, z)]_{z=\bar{z}} \\ &= \sum_{m'n'} \bar{a}_{m'n'} \cdot M_{mn,m'n'}^{Op} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In these equations, $[xxx]_{z=\bar{z}}$ means that the value of z is evaluated at \bar{z} after the operation is applied. An example is an operation to rotate the field direction discussed in the following section.

One important point to note is that the mode decomposition matrix is calculated at a given location. The matrix relates a set of amplitudes calculated at a position to another set of amplitudes at the same position. Then the propagator defined in Eq. (3) is used to calculate the field at different locations.

One explicit example will be in order. When the waist position of a field is shifted by Δz , the new field can be expressed by using the original field by shifting the z coordinate. A more general discussion is given below, but the following is an explicit calculation up to the first order effect.




(8)

$$u_0(x, \bar{z} + \Delta z) = \exp[i\Delta\eta] \cdot [a_0 \cdot u_0(x, \bar{z}) + a_2 \cdot u_2(x, \bar{z})]$$

$$\Delta\eta = \eta(\bar{z} + \Delta z) - \eta(\bar{z})$$

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - i\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta z}{z_0}}} \quad a_2 = -i\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp[i2\eta(\bar{z})] \frac{\Delta z}{z_0} \quad (9)$$

(10)




Given these two coefficients, the field at other location z can be calculated using Eq. (3).

4 BASIC OPERATIONS

4.1. Rotation Operator

4.1.1. Rotate around $(0,0,L)$ by θ



$$Op[E(x, y, z)] = E(x \cdot \cos\theta - (z - L) \cdot \sin\theta, y, L + x \cdot \sin\theta + (z - L) \cdot \cos\theta) \quad (11)$$

The size of θ of interest is $\sim 1 \mu$ rad, and the matrix is calculated by expanding in $\theta/\Theta/\cos(\eta)$, where Θ is the divergence angle which is $\sim 10 \mu$ rad in LIGO core optics cavities.

4.1.2. Mode decomposition matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{mm'}^{Rot} &= \int dx u_m^*(x, L) Rot[u_{m'}(x, L)] \\
 &= i^{-|\Delta m|} \frac{\sqrt{m!m'}}{\bar{m}! \cdot |\Delta m|!} e^{-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{2}} \hat{\theta}^{|\Delta m|} g(m, m', \hat{\theta})
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta m &= m' - m \\
 \bar{m} &= \min(m, m')
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{w(L)}{w_0} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\Theta} = \frac{\theta}{\Theta} / \cos(\eta_{00}) = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{L}{z_0}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{\theta}{w_0/z_0} = \frac{\sqrt{z_0^2 + L^2}}{w_0} \cdot \theta \tag{13}$$

$$g(m, m', x) = \bar{m}! \cdot |\Delta m|! \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\bar{m}} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!(\bar{m}-r)! (|\Delta m|+r)!} x^{2r} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta m &= m' - m \\
 \bar{m} &= \min(m, m')
 \end{aligned}$$

LIGO-DRAFT

4.1.3. Lower order elements

Table 1: $M_{mm'}^{Rot}$, excluding $\exp\left(-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{2}\right)$

$m \backslash m'$	0	1	2	3
0	1	$-i\hat{\theta}$	$-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{\sqrt{2}}$	$i\frac{\hat{\theta}^3}{\sqrt{6}}$
1	$-i\hat{\theta}$	$1-\hat{\theta}^2$	$-\sqrt{2}i\hat{\theta}\left(1-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{2}\right)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\hat{\theta}^2\left(1-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{3}\right)$
2	$-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\sqrt{2}i\hat{\theta}\left(1-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{2}\right)$	$1-2\hat{\theta}^2+\frac{\hat{\theta}^4}{2}$	$-i\sqrt{3}\hat{\theta}\left(1-\hat{\theta}^2+\frac{\hat{\theta}^4}{6}\right)$
3	$i\frac{\hat{\theta}^3}{\sqrt{6}}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\hat{\theta}^2\left(1-\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{3}\right)$	$-i\sqrt{3}\hat{\theta}\left(1-\hat{\theta}^2+\frac{\hat{\theta}^4}{6}\right)$	$1-3\hat{\theta}^2+\frac{3\hat{\theta}^4}{2}-\frac{\hat{\theta}^6}{6}$

4.1.4. Sanity check

$$\sum_{m3} M_{m1m3}^{Rot}(-\hat{\theta}) \cdot M_{m3m2}^{Rot}(\hat{\theta}) = \delta_{m1m2} \quad (15)$$

4.1.5. Intuitive meaning of Reflection operator matrix

Simple case reflection coupling from TEM00 model to TEM01 and TEM10 mode.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00 \rightarrow 10}^{Ref-back}(\theta_{yaw}) &= -i2\theta_{yaw} & R_{00 \rightarrow 10}^{Ref-front}(\theta_{yaw}) &= -i2\theta_{yaw} \\ R_{00 \rightarrow 01}^{Ref-back}(\theta_{pitch}) &= -i2\theta_{pitch} & R_{00 \rightarrow 10}^{Ref-front}(\theta_{pitch}) &= i2\theta_{pitch} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

LIGO-DRAFT




Figure 2: Reflection by θ_y or yaw from front and back




Figure 3: Reflection by θ_x or pitch from front and back

The meaning of the reflection matrix $-i 2 \theta$ is as follows. In the following calculation, the waist position is assumed to be at the reflection point, and $z \ll z_0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{ref} &= HG_0 - i2\hat{\theta}HG_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{w}\right)Exp[i\eta_{00}] \\
 &= C \cdot Exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{w^2}\right]\left(1 - i2\frac{\theta}{\Theta}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}2\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{w}Exp[i\eta_{00}]\right) \\
 &= C \cdot Exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{w^2}\right]\left(1 + 4\frac{\theta x z}{w^2} - i4\frac{\theta x}{\Theta w}\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$


Here, the guoy phased is approximated by z/z_0 , and only the linear term on θ is kept. The power along the z direction is calculated as follows where only the lowest order of θ is kept.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{ref} &= |E_{ref}|^2 \\
&= |C|^2 \cdot \text{Exp}\left[-\frac{2x^2}{w^2}\right] \left(1 + 8\frac{\theta xz}{w^2}\right) \\
&= |C|^2 \cdot \text{Exp}\left[-\frac{2x^2}{w^2} + 8\frac{\theta xz}{w^2}\right] \\
&= |C(z)|^2 \cdot \text{Exp}\left[-\frac{2}{w^2}(x - 2\theta z)^2\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

So, the reflected field has the power maximum along the line $x=2\theta z$, as is naively expected.

4.2. Shift Operator

4.2.1. Shift perpendicular to z axis



$$\text{Op}[E(x, y, z)] = E(x - \Delta x, y, z) \tag{19}$$

4.2.2. Mode decomposition matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{mm'}^{shift} &= \int dx u_m^*(x, L) \text{Shift}[u_{m'}(x, L)] \\
&= (-1)^{m + \max(m, m')} \frac{\sqrt{m!m'}}{\bar{m}! \cdot |\Delta m|!} \cdot e^{-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{2}} \hat{\Delta}^{|\Delta m|} g(m, m', \hat{\Delta})
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\Delta m = m' - m$$

$$\bar{m} = \min(m, m')$$

$$\hat{\Delta} = \frac{\Delta x}{w_0} \quad (21)$$

4.2.3. Lower order elements

Table 2: $M_{mm'}^{Shift}$ excluding $\exp\left(-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{2}\right)$

m\m'	0	1	2	3
0	1	$-\hat{\Delta}$	$\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^3}{\sqrt{6}}$
1	$\hat{\Delta}$	$1-\hat{\Delta}^2$	$-\sqrt{2}\hat{\Delta}\left(1-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{2}\right)$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\hat{\Delta}^2\left(1-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{3}\right)$
2	$\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\sqrt{2}\hat{\Delta}\left(1-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{2}\right)$	$1-2\hat{\Delta}^2+\frac{\hat{\Delta}^4}{2}$	$-\sqrt{3}\hat{\Delta}\left(1-\hat{\Delta}^2+\frac{\hat{\Delta}^4}{6}\right)$
3	$\frac{\hat{\Delta}^3}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\hat{\Delta}^2\left(1-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^2}{3}\right)$	$\sqrt{3}\hat{\Delta}\left(1-\hat{\Delta}^2+\frac{\hat{\Delta}^4}{6}\right)$	$1-3\hat{\Delta}^2+\frac{3\hat{\Delta}^4}{2}-\frac{\hat{\Delta}^6}{6}$


4.2.4. Sanity check

$$\sum_{m3} M_{m1m3}^{shift}(-\hat{\Delta}) \cdot M_{m3m2}^{shift}(\hat{\Delta}) = \delta_{m1m2} \quad (22)$$

LIGO-DRAFT

4.3. Base Change

4.3.1. Change of waist size and position



$$Op[E(x, y, z, w_0)] = E(x, y, z - \Delta z, w_0') \quad (23)$$

4.3.2. Mode decomposition matrix

Keep only 1st order of $\delta\hat{w}_0$ and $\delta\hat{z}$. Expression valid when

$$\delta\hat{w}_0, \delta\hat{z} < \sqrt{\frac{w_0}{z_0}} \quad (24)$$

$$M_{mm'}^{shift} = \int dx u_m^*(x, z) Base[u_{m'}(x, z)]$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{-i \exp(2i\eta_{00})}{\sqrt{(m+1)(m+2)}} \left(\delta\hat{w}_0 + i\frac{1}{2}\delta\hat{z} \right) \quad m' = m+2 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - i\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\delta\hat{z}}} \quad m' = m \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(-2i\eta_{00}) \left(\delta\hat{w}_0 - i\frac{1}{2}\delta\hat{z} \right) \quad m' = m-2 \end{array} \right\} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\hat{w}_0 &= \frac{w_0'}{w_0} - 1 \\
\hat{\delta}_z &= \frac{\Delta z}{z_0} \\
\eta_{00} &= \text{atan}\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) \\
k\Delta z &= \frac{2z_0}{w_0^2} \cdot \Delta z
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

4.3.3. Sanity check


$$\sum_{m3} M_{m1m3}^{Base}(-\hat{\delta}_z, -\delta\hat{w}_0) \cdot M_{m3m2}^{Base}(\hat{\delta}_z, \delta\hat{w}_0) = \delta_{m1m2} \tag{27}$$

Energy conservation is satisfied up to the first order.

$$\begin{aligned}
|Amp(00 \rightarrow 00)|^2 &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\delta}_z^2} \\
|Amp(00 \rightarrow 02)|^2 &= \frac{1}{8}\hat{\delta}_z^2
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

4.4. Reflection by a mirror with non-matching curvature

4.4.1. Basic



This is a special case of the Hermite-Gaussian base change. The reflection by a mirror is given by Eq. (29) and Eq. (30).

LIGO-DRAFT

$$z' = \frac{L\left(1 - \frac{2R(L)}{R_m}\right)}{1 + 4\frac{L}{R_m}\left(\frac{R(L)}{R_m} - 1\right)} \quad (29)$$

$$z_0' = \frac{z_0}{1 + 4\frac{L}{R_m}\left(\frac{R(L)}{R_m} - 1\right)} \quad (30)$$

L is the location of the mirror (distance from the waist of the field), and R_m and $R(L)$ are the curvatures of the mirror and the incoming field on the mirror. If the mirror curvature matches with that of the beam, $z' = -L$ and $z_0' = z_0$, i.e., the beam is just reflected back.

The curvature of the reflected field, R_{ref} , is given by Eq. (31). When the mirror curvature matches with that of the incoming field, $R_{ref} = -R(L)$, i.e., expanding (shrinking) field changes to shrinking (expanding) field.

$$\frac{1}{R(L)} - \frac{1}{R_{ref}} = \frac{2}{R_m} \quad (31)$$

The beam size on the mirror remains the same on reflection.

In the following calculation, the propagation direction of the reflected field is reversed

$$\begin{aligned} Op[E(x, y, z)] &= E(x, y, z - \Delta z; z_0') \\ \Delta z &= \frac{2L\left(\frac{R(L)}{R_m} - 1\right)\left(1 - \frac{2L}{R_m}\right)}{1 + 4\frac{L}{R_m}\left(\frac{R(L)}{R_m} - 1\right)} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

4.4.2. Mode decomposition matrix of the reflection by curvature mismatched mirror

$$\begin{aligned} M_{mm'}^{Mis} &= \int dx u_m^*(x, L) Ref[u_{m'}(x, L)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi 2^{m+m'} m! m'}} \int d\xi H_m(\xi) H_{m'}(\xi) Exp[-\xi^2(1 + i\alpha)] \\ \alpha &= \frac{k w(L)^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R(L)} - \frac{1}{R_m} \right) = \frac{z}{z_0} \left(1 - \frac{R(L)}{R_m} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

This is the Vinet's formula, except the sign of “ i ” due to the different convention of $\exp(-ikz)$.

As is derived in Appendix 3, the curvature mismatch matrix can be written in the following way.

$$M_{mm'}^{Mis} = \frac{1 + (-1)^{m+m'}}{2} \frac{(i\alpha)^{n_{min}}}{(1+i\alpha)^{\frac{m+m'+1}{2}}} \times \sum_{n=0}^{n_{max}} cm(m, m', n) \cdot \alpha^{2n} \quad (34)$$

$$n_{min} = \frac{|m-m'|}{2}, n_{max} = \frac{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{m'}{2}\right] - n_{min}}{2}$$

and the explicit form of the function $cm(m, m', n)$ is given by the following formula.

$$cm(m, m', n) = (-1)^n \frac{\sqrt{m!m'}}{\sqrt{2^{m+m'}(n_{min} + 2n)!}} \times$$

$$\sum_{r=r_{min}}^{r_{max}} (-1)^r \frac{(m+m'-2r)!r!}{\left(\frac{m+m'}{2} - r\right)!(r-r_{min})!} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{max}} \frac{1}{(m-2u)!(m'-2v)!u!v!} \quad (35)$$

$$r_{min} = \frac{|m-m'|}{2} + 2n, \quad r_{max} = \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{m'}{2}\right]$$

where s_{max} , u and v are functions of m, m', r and s given as follows.

$$r_1 = \min\left(\left[\frac{m}{2}\right], \left[\frac{m'}{2}\right]\right), \quad r_2 = \max\left(\left[\frac{m}{2}\right], \left[\frac{m'}{2}\right]\right)$$

$$0 \leq r \leq r_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad s_{max} = r, \quad u = r, \quad v = r - s \quad (36)$$

$$r_1 < r \leq r_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad s_{max} = r_1, \quad u = r + s - r_1, \quad v = r_1 - s$$

$$r_2 < r \leq r_{max} \quad \Rightarrow \quad s_{max} = r_{max} - r, \quad u = r + s - r_1, \quad v = r_1 - s$$

The $Z_{mm'}(\alpha)$ by Vinet is related to our formulation as follows:

$$Z_{mm'}(-\alpha) = \sqrt{2^{m+m'} m!m'!} (i\alpha)^{n_{min}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{max}} cm(m, m', n) \cdot \alpha^{2n} \quad (37)$$

4.4.3. Lower order elements

LIGO-DRAFT

$$M^{Base}\left(\Delta z = \frac{L\theta^2}{2}\right)M^{Rot}(L, -\theta)M^{Shift}(-L\theta)M^{Rot}(0, \theta) = I \quad (38)$$


5 NULL TEST

Because the same phenomena can be calculated using different set of Hermite-Gaussians, there are several cases which can be used to validate calculating by comparing results using different set of Hermite-Gaussians. In this section, two cases are studied. One is a field in a flat-curved FP cavity with the end mirror tilted, and the other is a field whose waist position is slightly shifted from that of a resonant mode of a FP cavity.

5.1. Tilt

5.2. Waist shift

How many modes are necessary ?



$$E_{cav} = \frac{t_1}{1 - MR1 \cdot P21 \cdot MR2 \cdot P12} E_{in} \quad (39)$$

$$E_{00}^{cav} = \frac{t_1 \cdot E_{00}^{in}}{(1 - r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \exp(i \cdot \varphi)) \cdot (1 + c_1) + c_2} \quad (40)$$

With $n+m \leq 2$, $c_1 \sim \text{real}$, $O(\alpha^2)$, $c_2 = \text{real}$, $O(\alpha^4)$

With $n+m \leq 4$, $c_1 \sim \text{real}$, $O(\alpha^2)$, $c_2 = \text{real}$, $O(\alpha^5)$

$$\alpha = \frac{k \cdot w(z)^2}{2 \cdot R(z)} \left(1 - \frac{R(z)}{R_m}\right) = \frac{\Delta z}{z_0} \cos(2\eta) \quad (41)$$

LIGO-DRAFT

$$E_{00}^{cav} = \frac{t_1 \cdot E_{00}^{in}}{(1 - r_1 \cdot r_2)} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + c1) + \frac{c2}{1 - r_1 \cdot r_2}} \quad (42)$$

For a high finesse cavity, like locked state LIGO arm, $F \sim 10k$,
4th order mode is necessary.

LIGO-DRAFT

6 PHYSICS QUANTITIES

Table 4: Physics parameters of interest


<i>name</i>	<i>value</i>	<i>comment</i>
LIGO ITM curvature	14.18 km	
LIGO ETM curvature	7.4 km	
LIGO Recycling curv	14.9 km	
LIGO ITM Trans / Loss	3% / 50 ppm	
LIGO ETM Trans / Loss	< 20ppm / 50 ppm	
LIGO Recycling T/L	3% / 50 ppm	

LIGO-DRAFT

APPENDIX 1 SUMMARY OF FIELD PROPERTIES

Table 5: field parameters

<i>name</i>	<i>expression</i>	<i>in COC (4k/2k)</i>	<i>in PSL/IOO</i>
waist size	w_0	3.51 / 3.13 cm	
Raylay length	$z_0 = \frac{\pi \cdot w_0^2}{\lambda} = \frac{k \cdot w_0^2}{2} = \frac{w_0}{\Theta}$ $= \sqrt{\frac{L(R_1 - L)(R_2 - L)(R_1 + R_2 - L)}{(R_1 + R_2 - 2L)^2}}$	3.63 / 2.89 km	
Distance to waist	$z_1 = \frac{L(R_2 - L)}{R_1 + R_2 - 2L}, z_2 = \frac{L(R_1 - L)}{R_1 + R_2 - 2L}$	1000, 3000 m / 614, 1386 m	
spot size	$w = w_0 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^2}$	3.64, 4.55cm / 3.20, 3.47cm size @ITM,ETM	
curvature of phase front	$R(z) = \frac{z^2 + z_0^2}{z}$		
divergence angle	$\Theta = \frac{\lambda}{\pi \cdot w_0} = \frac{w_0}{z_0}$	9.7 / 10.8 μ r	
guoy phase	$\eta(z) = \text{atan}\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) = \text{angle}\left(\frac{z_0 + iz}{ z_0 + iz }\right)$	-15.4, 39.6 deg -12.0, 25.6 deg η @ITM/ETM	
wave number	$k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} = \frac{2}{\Theta \cdot w_0} = \frac{2z_0}{w_0^2}$	5.91e6~YAG 0.513 ~24.5MHz 0.618 ~29.5MHz	

$$R_{\text{OUT}} = R_{\text{IN}} / n$$


The diagram illustrates a curved surface with a radius of curvature R_{IN} and a refractive index n . A dashed line represents the radius of curvature R_{OUT} in the surrounding medium, which is equal to R_{IN} / n .

UNO-DRAFT

APPENDIX 2 HARMITE GAUSSIAN FUNCTIONS

$H_m(x)$ is the Hermite polynomial of order m . The following relations are used repeatedly in the calculations which follow:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_m^\dagger(x, z) U_n(x, z) dx = \delta_{mn} \quad (43)$$

$$2xH_m(x) = H_{m+1}(x) + 2mH_{m-1}(x) \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}H_m(x) = 2mH_{m-1}(x) \quad (45)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_m^\dagger(x, 0) \frac{H_i(\sqrt{2}x/w_0)}{H_k(\sqrt{2}x/w_0)} U_k(x, 0) dx = \sqrt{\frac{2^i i!}{2^k k!}} \delta_{mi} \quad (46)$$

Eqn. Eq. (43) is the orthonormality condition; eqns. Eq. (44) and Eq. (45) are recursion relations to be used to derive Hermite polynomials of any order, beginning with $H_0(x) = 1$.

In two dimensions the Hermite-Gaussian modes are given by

$$U_{mn} = U_m(x, z)U_n(y, z)e^{-ikz} \quad (47)$$

The explicit forma of a few low order Hermite polynomials are :

$$H_0(x) = 1; H_1(x) = 2x; H_2(x) = -2 + 4x^2; H_3(x) = -12x + 8x^3; \quad (48)$$

A few examples of the distribution of the Hermite Gaussians are shown below.

The following formula is used in the modeling of the photo detector with simple shapes.

$$\int_0^{\infty} H_n(x)H_m(x) \exp(-x^2) dx$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{r+s} \cdot \frac{n!m!2^{n+m-1}}{(2r)!!(2s)!!(n-2r)!(m-2s)!} \cdot \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{n+m-1}{2} - r - s \right)! \cdot 2^{-r-s} & \text{for odd } n+m \\ \frac{(n+m-2r-2s-1)!!}{\sqrt{2}^{n+m}} \sqrt{\pi} & \text{for even } n+m \end{cases}$$

Table 6: $HH[m, n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{m+n}m!n!} \int_0^{\infty} H_m(x)H_n(x)\exp(-x^2)$

m \ n	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3\pi}}$	0	$\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{3}{5\pi}}$
1	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$	0	$-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3\pi}}$	0
2	0	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}}$	0	$-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{5}{6\pi}}$
3	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3\pi}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4\sqrt{2\pi}}$	0
4	0	$-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3\pi}}$	0	$\frac{3}{4\sqrt{2\pi}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{8}\sqrt{\frac{5}{2\pi}}$
5	$\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{3}{5\pi}}$	0	$-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{5}{6\pi}}$	0	$\frac{3}{8}\sqrt{\frac{5}{2\pi}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n(x)H_m(x)\exp(-x^2) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\pi}2^n n! & \text{for } n=m \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq m \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

$$H_n(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^r (2r-1)!! {}_n C_{2r} 2^{n-r} x^{n-2r}$$

$$H_n(x+y) = \sum_{r=0}^n H_{n-r}(x) 2^r {}_n C_r y^r \quad (51)$$

LIGO-DRAFT

APPENDIX 3 CURVATURE MISMATCH MATRIX

to be filled

APPENDIX 4 STEADY STATE MULTIMODE FIELDS IN A FABRY-PEROIT CAVITY




Figure 4: FP cavity schematics

Steady state fields in a Fabry-Pérot cavity can be calculated by solving the following consistency equation Eq. (52).

$$A_{cav} = t_1 \cdot A_{in} + r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot MR_1 \cdot PR_{21} \cdot MR_2 \cdot PR_{12} \cdot A_{cav} \quad (52)$$

In this equation, A_{in} and A_{cav} are arrays of coefficients, a_{mn} in Eq. (2), of incoming and intra cavity fields.

$$A_x = \begin{bmatrix} a_{m_1 n_1} \\ a_{m_2 n_2} \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} \quad (53)$$

t_j and r_j are amplitude transmittance and reflectance of mirror j . PR_{ij} is a propagator from mirror i to mirror j , corresponding to Eq. (4), and MR_j is a mode decomposition matrix discussed in Section 4.

The propagator can be explicitly written as follows:

$$PR_{ij} = \text{Exp}[-ikL + i\Delta\eta_{ij}] \begin{bmatrix} \text{Exp}[i(m_1 + n_1)\Delta\eta_{ij}] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Exp}[i(m_2 + n_2)\Delta\eta_{ij}] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots \end{bmatrix} \quad (54)$$

where L is the distance between the two mirrors and $\Delta\eta$ is the difference of the guoy phases,

$$\Delta\eta_{ij} = \eta(z_j) - \eta(z_i) \quad (55)$$

When the field is propagating back from mirror 2 to mirror 1, the z axis direction is reversed, and the numerical value of $\Delta\eta_{21}$ is the same as $\Delta\eta_{12}$. Hereafter, $\Delta\eta$ is used to denote the change of guoy phase from mirror 1 to mirror 2, $\Delta\eta_{12}$.

The solution of this consistency equation is

$$A_{cav} = \frac{t_1 \cdot A_{in}}{1 - r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot MR_1 \cdot PR_{21} \cdot MR_2 \cdot PR_{12}} \quad (56)$$

When the Hermite-Gaussian base is the eigenstate of the FP cavity, there is no coupling among modes on reflection, i.e., MR_i is diagonal. When the FP cavity is slightly off from this idealistic case, mode mixing occurs when the field is reflected by mirrors, i.e., MR_i is not diagonal.

A mode in the cavity, $A_{cav,mn}$, induced by a specific mode of the incoming field, $A_{in,0}$, can be rewritten in the following form. (The mode of the incoming field can be any. Here suffix 0 is used to clarify that $A_{in,0}$ refers to an amplitude of a certain mode.)

$$A_{cav, mn} = \frac{t_1 \cdot A_{in,0}}{1 - R_{12}(1 - \delta)} \quad (57)$$

(58)

R

LIGO-DRAFT

REFERENCE

1. Hefetz, Y., Mavalvala, N. and Sigg, N. "Principles of calculating alignment signals in complex resonant optical interferometers," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **14**, 1597-1605 (1997)
2. Bhawal, B., JOSA A, **105**, 120-143 (1998)

LIGO-DRAFT