

- **Status summary**
  - *Current status of e2e*
    - Settings for Hanford 2k IFO, 1W
    - Field is scalar
    - 6 suspended optics
    - optics has no thickness
    - seismic motion is transfer to the table by z -> z transfer function
    - local correlation is taken into account by removing the low frequency (<1Hz) component of the ground motion when simulating the 4 suspended mirrors in the corner station.
    - A suspended mirror is simulated by a simple pendulum (point object)
    - A simple length control
      - control matrix ( data -> **CARM, DARM, PRC, MICH** )
      - filter (error signal to displacement)
      - **CARM, DARM, PRC, MICH** -> forces on mirrors
    - one sensor/actuator per mirror
    - no digitization, no whitening/un-whitening
    - no ASC
    - A simplified PSL/IOO box for the frequency control and laser noise - This has not been used yet.
  - *Next release of e2e package ( by middle of July )*
    - **Latest control matrix c code for LSC is included**
    - **A package can be easily customized for different IFO and different configuration**
    - **Field can be higher order mode**
      - **no ASC yet, but misalignment effect can be studied**
    - **Thermal noise and shot noise included**
      - **Base line sensitivity curved can be simulated**
    - **Power spectral density can be easily obtained**
    - **Frame interface supported**
      - **A stand alone application will be written which converts the e2e simulation program output (ascii or binary) to frame formatted data file, and vice versa.**

- We discussed pros and cons of adding frame interface directly to the simulation package, and we concluded that this is easier to use.
  - “Reality to the model” work can be started
  - Basic noise study can be started
- **LIGO I actions**
  - *Goal*
    - Put minimal ingredients in the simulation code
    - Prepare easy-to-use front-end
    - Make e2e useful to help improving the LIGO sensitivity
    - 1 year time scale
  - *Categories*
    - **Adding physics**
      - Implement new physics by writing C++ code or by combining existing building blocks
      - Mainly done at Caltech.
    - **Modeling detector**
      - Build a template LIGO model.
      - Site specific implementation of the LIGO model.
      - Test of the model performance
      - Done at Caltech and at each site.
    - **Programming issues**
      - Code development to make the LIGO model more productive.
      - Mainly done at Caltech.
  - *Adding physics*
    - WFS and 3D mirror (**Andrea Vicere**)
      - Integrate a simple 3D mirror with stiff wires and perfectly cylindrical shape (primitive exists).
      - This enables the simulation of the coupling of length and angular DOF.
      - Actuation by 4 OSEMs.
    - Servo characterization (**Luca Matone**)
      - Digitization
      - Whitening and un-whitening
      - Electronic noise
    - Understanding modal model (Biplab Bhawal)
      - Understand how well e2e modal model works for LIGO I, especially when the input test masses are heated up.

- How many modes are needed to simulate LIGO I cavity ? (now,  $n+m \leq 3$ , do we need to expand to  $n+m=4$ ?)
- Validation of the modal model implementation.
- Comparison with the FFT simulation
- Comparison with the current LIGO data
- Thermal lensing / thermo-elastic effect (Biplab Bhawal)
  - How to simulate a hot state of LIGO I ? (minimal model for the small thermal effect)
  - Is it enough to use an effect refractive index for ITM to mimic the lens effect ? (different curvatures seen from front and back)
  - Validation using FFT and/or Melody
- Simple PSL/IOO model (Biplab Bhawal and/or Luca Matone)
  - What physics needs to be included ?
    - *laser frequency control feedback simulation*
    - *Proper frequency and amplitude noise in the output field which goes into COC*
    - *Carrier and sideband noise due to the length fluctuation of the mode cleaner*
    - *Beam jitter on to the recycling mirror*
      - Parameterized spectrum based on measurement?
      - Simulation by the motion of MMTs
        - MMT1, MMT2 are small and, MMT3 is large suspension optics
    - *Radiation pressure*
      - Is it enough to put just a  $1/f^2$  spectrum? Proper implementation keeping in mind that it differs in nature from other displacement noises like thermal noise.
  - Granularity of PSL/IOO simulation
    - *one simple digital filter as the simplest starter model?*
    - *Triangular mode cleaner cavity for amplitude noise generation*
- Details of mirrors (Biplab Bhawal)
  - Coupling of the mirror vertical motion to the length DOF
  - Effect of the curvature of the earth
  - Optics imperfections

- *On reflection by mirrors and transmission through lenses, aberration is implemented perturbatively using mode decomposition matrix.*
- **Thermal noise (Biplab Bhawal)**
  - **Pendulum, Violin and mirror internal thermal noises are included**
    - *All but the resonance frequencies are hard coded.*
    - *One needs to run separate program by Kent and Sam to get values for different temperature, mass and Q.*
  - **Vertical and pitch/yaw thermal noise**
  - **Thermal noise primitives**
    - *For given physical parameters, like temperature, mass, Q, all necessary parameters are calculated internally, no need to run separate programs.*
    - *This makes it easy to put different set of physical values for different mirrors.*
    - *A module which calculates poles and zeros from given physics parameters (temperature, mass, etc)*
    - *One module which corresponds to multiple resonances (equivalent to multiple pole pair digital filters)*
- **More sophisticated 3D mirror (Virginio Sannibale)**
  - **Better simulation of the stack.**
    - *Do we understand the stack well enough ?*
    - *Stack internal mode important ?*
    - *This will be tied with the work getting a “validated” stack transfer function.*
  - **Suspension wire vibration**
  - **Better thermal noise implementation of compound systems**
  - **Mirror shapes different from a perfect cylinder.**
  - **Any of these features important ?**
  - **Integration of MSE**
    - *Completion of API does not take time – 1 week.*
    - *Anything else useful using MSE for LIGO I ?*
- **Other noises**
  - **Radiation pressure, or what else important?**
  - **Non gaussian noises ?**

- **Modeling detector**
  - e2eLIGO (Hiro Yamamoto)
    - Updated version of Han2k
    - Universal package for LHO and LLO, 2k, 4k, FP, Recombined, full LIGO
    - No (little) modification of box files needed for different configuration.
    - One or two data files will be used to setup the configuration of the simulated detector.
    - Ready for multi mode
      - *Does not include WFS/3D yet*
      - *Effect of mirror alignment can be studied*
    - Thermal noise, shot noise ready to be activated.
    - Power Spectral Density can be measured easily (pre configured psd primitives)
  - **Comparison between e2e prediction with engineering run data**
    - This will help to understand which elements are important to make e2e closer to reality
    - Help to model the seismic motion and stack modeling
  - Reality in the simulation
    - Ground motion
      - *Psd*
      - *Correlation*
    - **Validation of stack transfer functions**
      - *Out of 6x6 matrix elements, which are important for the given size of ground motion ?*
      - *HYTEC model + measurements*
      - *coupling to z directions from other DOF*
      - *Use measured mirror motion data for validation*
      - *Use measured table top motion as inputs to simulation – NOT ENOUGH DATA*
    - optical and mechanical properties
      - *data file for each detector setup*
  - Update the model for the site and validate by comparing with data
    - *Get site specific problems*
    - *Apply the model to help the commissioning*
- **Programming issues**
  - Improvement of environments (Hiro Yamamoto)

- **Macros, etc**
- **Bundle data type, etc**
- **This can dramatically improve the ease of use.**
- **Save and Load (Hiro Yamamoto)**
  - **Now the simulation must start from the cold state.**
  - **Should be able to store a state and restart from the saved state.**
- **Data Analysis**
  - **Use of CACR for the simulation run. (Ed Maros, Hiro Yamamoto)**
  - **Frame interface (Andrea Vicera)**
- **New optics-field model (Matt Evans)**
  - **Current optics-field model started with a scalar field with no compound cavity in mind, and modal model and compound cavities were added on top of the original framework.**
  - **In order to make it manageable and easy to add new physics, it is time to rewrite the code. Matt has completed a basic work. Integration of this basic work into e2e is a big task.**
- **Improvement of the psd module based on a better algorithm (Andrea Vicere, Hiro Yamamoto)**
- **Support of State Space representation**
- **Add a primitive to simulate the GW signal**
- **Speed up the simulation by adopting various techniques (Matt Evans, Hiro Yamamoto)**
  - **Thread**
  - **Expression templates**
  - **Improvement of code**
- **Improvements of function parser (Hiro Yamamoto)**
  - **“C code” development without compilation**
  - **Optimization of execution stack**
  - **Expand language**
    - *Goto*
    - *Better If based on Goto*
    - *Print*
- **Full LIGO summation code**
  - **One way to speed up the simulation by factor of several.**
- **Frequency domain modeler**

- `GetFreqResponse( vector<real> &freqs, vector<complex> vals );`
- Multiple time steps
  - Small time step for optics, long time step for mechanics, ...
- Programmer's task
  - Profiling
  - Memory leak
  - Porting code to CACR
    - *g++ 2.95.2*
      - e2e default compiler
      - has bug (complex/real => NaN)
      - CACR is trying to port 2.95.3
    - *KAI compiler*
      - native complex support, C++ standard compliant
      - free license and limited help
      - cannot compile
    - *HP compiler*
      - C++ standard compliant
      - Linker size limit
      - Compiler crashes
    - *Interface automating job submission*
  - Improving parser
  - Compile with 64bit architecture
  - Save/Load (hiro)
  - Expression template
  - Thread
  - Data visualization
- *Schedule*
  - Actions working with / requesting Detector experts
    - **ISC/ASC : Nergis Mavalvala**
      - *Realistic model of LSC*
        - *A meeting was held on May 30<sup>th</sup> to discuss the strategy toward implementing a more realistic LSC servo, and the first version of ASC. Minute is <http://www.ligo.caltech.edu/~e2e/actions/LSC-ASC-05-30-01.htm>*
        - Luca measured necessary data with the help by Nergis*
    - **SEI/SUS : Mark Barton and Robert Schofield**
      - *Seismic motion data, including correlations*
        - How to model correlation?
      - *Stack transfer function*

- The goal is to obtain a stack transfer function, which is minimal yet good enough to simulate the key feature of the seismic motion.
  - Some simulation work will be involved to understand various coupling of the DOF.
- **Validation of mechanical motion simulation**
  - Stack transfer function looks OK
  - How do we validate the mirror motion ?
- **Livingston**
  - Seismic motion => Joe Giame
- *Mark provided us a SUS calculation tool using mathematica.*
- *Measured mechanical quantities – resonance freq, Q values, etc*
  - Hanford : available now
  - Livingston : soon
  - Mass to mass dependence will not be important
- **COC : Garilynn Billingsley**
  - *Measured phase map of COC mirrors*
    - Reflection and transmission phasemap
    - How to extrapolate
    - Zernike polynomial to Hermite Gaussian
    - Orientation of suspended mirror
    - By the end of August
  - *Optics data – wedge angle, curvature, etc*
- **PSL/IOO : Peter King, Rick Savage, Nergis Mavalvala, Dave Reitze**
  - **Laser frequency and amplitude noise**
    - from PSL to IOO and IOO to COC
  - *Beam jitter hitting recycling mirror*
  - *Triangular cavity simulation*
    - Do we need alignment control simulated?
- **IOO/COC : Dave Reitze**
  - *Lumped parameters : intensities, mode matching, length, finesse, etc*
  - *IOO cavity parameters provided (06/05/01)*  
<http://www.ligo.caltech.edu/~e2e/actions/IOO-DavidReitze.pdf>
  - *Radiation pressure*
    - Any curve or other characteristics for the radiation pressure noise and other noises in mode cleaner, measured directly or indirectly.
    - In which freq range, does the radiation pressure noise become significant as compared to thermal/Seismic noise?
- **COS : Mike Smith**

- *A list of anomalies (scattering, mode distortion, etc) which he thinks could be important, and rough estimation of their effects.*
    - *A sketchy list will be enough for now to educate us about these effects.*
  - **E2E exports**
    - **Biplab Bhawal**
      - *Understanding modal model*
      - *Mirror phase map*
      - *PSL/IOO*
      - ...
    - **Andrea Vicere**
      - *Frame interface*
      - *WFS/3D mirror*
      - ...
    - **Luca Matone**
      - *Servo characterization*
      - *IOO*
      - ...
    - **Virginio Sannibale**
      - *SUS/SEI*
      - *Validation by comparing with data*
      - ...
    - **Hiro Yamamoto**
      - *Improvement of environments*
      - *e2eLIGO*
      - *distribute template package to sites*
      - ...
- **Advanced LIGO**
  - *Optics*
    - **Compound cavity calculation of the dual recycling Michelson cavity.**
    - **Thermal lensing / thermo-elastic effect**
      - **Calculation of the deformation**
      - **Calculation of fields in deformed optics**
        - *“Best base” is calculated for the deformed mirror*
      - **Relationship with Melody**
  - *Other known noises*
    - **Radiation pressure, or what else important?**
  - *Mechanics*
    - **MSE based Quad-pendulum model**
    - **How to migrate from now to the future?**

- **What kind of integration efforts are necessary to utilize the existing resources most efficiently ?**